

Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP)  
Absolute True and False Filters

Status of This Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

This document extends the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) to support absolute True and False filters based upon similar capabilities found in X.500 directory systems. The document also extends the String Representation of LDAP Search Filters to support these filters.

Table of Contents

1. Background .....	1
2. Absolute True and False Filters .....	2
3. Security Considerations .....	2
4. IANA Considerations .....	3
5. References .....	3
5.1. Normative References .....	3
5.2. Informative References .....	3

1. Background

The X.500 Directory Access Protocol (DAP) [X.511] supports absolute True and False assertions. An 'and' filter with zero elements always evaluates to True. An 'or' filter with zero elements always evaluates to False. These filters are commonly used when requesting DSA-specific Entries (DSEs) that do not necessarily have 'objectClass' attributes; that is, where "(objectClass=\*)" may evaluate to False.

Although LDAPv2 [RFC1777][RFC3494] placed no restriction on the number of elements in 'and' and 'or' filter sets, the LDAPv2 string representation [RFC1960][RFC3494] could not represent empty 'and' and 'or' filter sets. Due to this, absolute True or False filters were (unfortunately) eliminated from LDAPv3 [RFC4510].

This document extends LDAPv3 to support absolute True and False assertions by allowing empty 'and' and 'or' in Search filters [RFC4511] and extends the filter string representation [RFC4515] to allow empty filter lists.

It is noted that certain search operations, such as those used to retrieve subschema information [RFC4512], require use of particular filters. This document does not change these requirements.

This feature is intended to allow a more direct mapping between DAP and LDAP (as needed to implement DAP-to-LDAP gateways).

In this document, the key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119].

## 2. Absolute True and False Filters

Implementations of this extension SHALL allow 'and' and 'or' choices with zero filter elements.

An 'and' filter consisting of an empty set of filters SHALL evaluate to True. This filter is represented by the string "(&)".

An 'or' filter consisting of an empty set of filters SHALL evaluate to False. This filter is represented by the string "(|)".

Servers supporting this feature SHOULD publish the Object Identifier 1.3.6.1.4.1.4203.1.5.3 as a value of the 'supportedFeatures' [RFC4512] attribute in the root DSE.

Clients supporting this feature SHOULD NOT use the feature unless they know that the server supports it.

## 3. Security Considerations

The (re)introduction of absolute True and False filters is not believed to raise any new security considerations.

Implementors of this (or any) LDAPv3 extension should be familiar with general LDAPv3 security considerations [RFC4510].

#### 4. IANA Considerations

Registration of this feature has been completed by the IANA [RFC4520].

Subject: Request for LDAP Protocol Mechanism Registration Object  
Identifier: 1.3.6.1.4.1.4203.1.5.3 Description: True/False filters  
Person & email address to contact for further information:

Kurt Zeilenga <kurt@openldap.org> Usage: Feature Specification:  
RFC 4526 Author/Change Controller: IESG Comments: none

This OID was assigned [ASSIGN] by OpenLDAP Foundation, under its IANA-assigned private enterprise allocation [PRIVATE], for use in this specification.

#### 5. References

##### 5.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC4510] Zeilenga, K., Ed, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Technical Specification Road Map", RFC 4510, June 2006.
- [RFC4511] Sermersheim, J., Ed., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): The Protocol", RFC 4511, June 2006.
- [RFC4512] Zeilenga, K., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Directory Information Models", RFC 4512, June 2006.
- [RFC4515] Smith, M., Ed. and T. Howes, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Search Filters", RFC 4515, June 2006.

##### 5.2. Informative References

- [RFC1777] Yeong, W., Howes, T., and S. Kille, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol", RFC 1777, March 1995.
- [RFC1960] Howes, T., "A String Representation of LDAP Search Filters", RFC 1960, June 1996.
- [RFC3494] Zeilenga, K., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol version 2 (LDAPv2) to Historic Status", RFC 3494, March 2003.

- [RFC4520] Zeilenga, K., "Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Considerations for the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP)", BCP 64, RFC 4520, June 2006.
- [X.500] International Telecommunication Union - Telecommunication Standardization Sector, "The Directory -- Overview of concepts, models and services," X.500(1993) (also ISO/IEC 9594-1:1994).
- [X.501] International Telecommunication Union - Telecommunication Standardization Sector, "The Directory -- Models," X.501(1993) (also ISO/IEC 9594-2:1994).
- [X.511] International Telecommunication Union - Telecommunication Standardization Sector, "The Directory: Abstract Service Definition", X.511(1993) (also ISO/IEC 9594-3:1993).
- [ASSIGN] OpenLDAP Foundation, "OpenLDAP OID Delegations", <http://www.openldap.org/foundation/oid-delegate.txt>.
- [PRIVATE] IANA, "Private Enterprise Numbers", <http://www.iana.org/assignments/enterprise-numbers>.

#### Author's Address

Kurt D. Zeilenga  
OpenLDAP Foundation

EMail: Kurt@OpenLDAP.org

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

